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Abstract

While impressive progress has been made for teaching embodied agents to navigate static environments using vision, much less progress has been made on more dynamic environments that may include moving pedestrians or movable obstacles. In this study, we aim to benchmark different augmentation techniques for improving the agent’s performance in these challenging environments. We show that adding several dynamic obstacles into the scene during training confers significant improvements in test-time generalization, achieving much higher success rates than baseline agents. We find that this approach can also be combined with image augmentation methods to achieve even higher success rates. Additionally, we show that this approach is also more robust to sim-to-sim transfer than image augmentation methods. Finally, we demonstrate the effectiveness of this dynamic obstacle augmentation approach by using it to train an agent for the 2021 iGibson Challenge at CVPR, achieving 1st place for Interactive Navigation. An extended version of this work can be found at http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.10493.

1. Introduction

Mobile robots must be able to skillfully navigate through their environments to operate effectively in the real world. Fortunately, several recent works using deep reinforcement learning have shown promising results by deploying robots that can successfully navigate in novel environments in the real world [3,7,8]. However, datasets and simulators for navigation tasks featuring dynamic objects are not as abundant as the static environments used by these works.

To address the challenges of dynamic navigation and constraints on the availability of training environments, we aim to provide a benchmark and analysis of augmentation techniques for visual navigation such that other researchers can leverage our findings from the iGibson Challenge. In particular, we show that simply adding several dynamic obstacles (pedestrians) to the scene during training improves the agent’s test-time success rate in novel environments significantly, even for navigation tasks that do not involve dynamic obstacles in the environment, such as PointNav and InteractiveNav. We conduct a systematic analysis in which we sweep through both the amount of training data available and the amount of pedestrians used during training.

We then compare this dynamic obstacle augmentation method against two image augmentation methods, Crop and Cutout, which was shown by Laskin et al. [4] to significantly improve test-time generalization (up to 4x performance improvement) on various benchmarks for visual tasks [2,5]. We show that dynamic obstacle augmentation can be combined synergistically with image augmentations to further improve performance. Similar to Laskin et al., we also show that in contrast, combining different image augmentation methods can reduce performance gains.

We demonstrate the effectiveness of dynamic obstacle augmentation by participating in the 2021 iGibson Challenge at CVPR [6], where our agent ranked 1st place for InteractiveNav. This feat was accomplished without using any rewards specific to InteractiveNav; only a basic PointNav reward and dynamic obstacle augmentation was used. Despite this, our approach achieved a 4% (absolute percentage) higher success rate than the 2nd place team.

*Indicates equal contribution.
2. Augmentation Techniques

To improve the test-time generalization of the agent for visual navigation, we introduce several moving pedestrians into the environment (illustrated in Figure 1). This approach aims to prevent the agent from learning to overfit to the environments it sees during training; with a large number of moving visual distractors present in the scene, it is more difficult for the agent to memorize the layout of the training environment using observations from its camera. Additionally, unlike image augmentation methods that simply perturb the agent’s visual inputs, this method forces the agent to learn a larger variety of paths for a given episode’s pair of start-goal positions. Because the paths that the pedestrians take are newly generated each time the environment is reset, the agent is not able to always take the same path without colliding into a pedestrian.

We compare this method against two image augmentation methods that have been shown to improve performance for reinforcement learning using visual data [4]. The Crop image augmentation extracts a random patch from the original frame; similar to Laskin et al. [4], we crop the frame at a random location such that the resulting image is 8% shorter in height and width. Cutout, detailed by Zhong et al. [9], inserts a black rectangle of a random shape, aspect ratio, and location into the original frame. In addition, we investigate Crop&Cutout, which combines Crop and Cutout by applying them sequentially.

3. Results

Table 1. PointNav (0 ppl) Success Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of train scenes</th>
<th># of dynamic pedestrians during training (baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 ppl</td>
<td>74.93±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 ppl</td>
<td>81.41±2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ppl</td>
<td>86.15±2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ppl</td>
<td>91.41±2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 ppl</td>
<td>95.26±2.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. SocialNav (3 ppl) Success Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of dynamic pedestrians during training (baseline)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 ppl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ppl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ppl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 ppl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Heatmaps comparing average success rates over three random seeds when trained with various augmentation methods. Adding Dyn leads Crop to attain the highest success rates for both tasks and does not decrease the performance of Cutout.

Our results indicate that dynamic obstacle augmentation improves performance for both visual navigation tasks. Both tables show performance gains provided by dynamic obstacle augmentation are more substantial when only a small number (8 or less) of training scenes are available. As the number of available training scenes increases, the gap between the baseline agents and those trained with added dynamic pedestrians decreases. This indicates that the limited number of training scenes causes overfitting, but can be mitigated by dynamic obstacle augmentation.

We compare our technique against the Crop and Cutout image augmentation techniques. To narrow the scope of our investigation, we limit these experiments to agents trained with only eight scenes. When dynamic obstacle augmentation is used, six pedestrians are added during training. We find that using Cutout alone outperforms using dynamic obstacle augmentation alone by a slight margin for both tasks. We also evaluate the effects of combining the image augmentation techniques. We observe that combining Cutout and Crop (bottom-middle cells) only leads to worse performance than simply using Cutout alone. However, as shown in Figure 2, when dynamic obstacle augmentation is combined with either the Crop or Cutout image augmentations, we find that the success rate either remains the same or is further improved. Combining Dyn with Crop (middle-left cells) yields a higher success rate than using either method alone, and achieves the highest success rate for both tasks, tying with Cutout for PointNav and surpassing it for SocialNav. Combining Cutout with Dyn (bottom-left cells) does not affect performance over using Cutout alone.
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